# ELTING VILLE VETERINARY PRACTICE

## Eltingville Veterinary Practice

www.Eitingvillevet.org 4353 Hylan Blvd. Staten Island, NY 10312 (718) 208-4118

Muriel Richards 115 Mills Avenue 2nd floor Staten Island, NY 10305

Client ID: 95 Invoice #: 443

Date: 11/5/2014

Patient II Patient Name		Species: Feline		Weight: 2.90 pounds		
11/5/2014	<u>Description</u>	Breed: Dom	estic Shorthair	Birthday:	08/05/2014	
11/5/2014	OV-Puppy/Kitten Antech FeLV/FIV Eli Revoultion Pup/Kit Capstar Tablet 11 4n	sa	Staff Name Danielle Pugliese,	Patient Sul Invoice Tourtesy Disc Balance Previous Bala	Quantity  1.00  1.00  1.00  1.00  1.00  1.00  btotal:  Total:  Count:  Total:  Due:	Total \$42.00 \$63.50 \$16.00 \$7.50 \$12.50 \$0.00 \$141.50 (\$12.50) \$129.00 \$0.00
				Balance I Cas Less Paym Change Giv Balance D	sh : ent: /en:	\$129.00 (\$140.00) (\$140.00) \$11.00 \$0.00

### Scheduled Appointments:

Appt. for Wiggles on 11/7/2014 at 09:00 am.

Our doctors and staff thank you for choosing our Hospital. We appreciate your business and enjoy providing care for your pets. Thank You.

#### Page 1 / 1

# ELTING VILLE VETERINARY PRACTICE

## Eltingville Veterinary Practice

www.Eltingvillevet.org 4353 Hylan Blvd. Staten Island, NY 10312 (718) 208-4118

Muriel Richards 115 Mills Avenue 2nd floor Staten Island, NY 10305

Client ID: 95

Invoice #: 499

Date: 11/17/2014

Patient ID: Patient Name:		Species: Feline	Weight: 8.70	pounds
11/15/2014	Description OV-Initial Consultation/E	Breed: Domestic Shorthair  Staff Name	Birthday: 11/15/20	06 Sex: Male
1/17/2014	Radiograph- Initial View Comprehensive CBC La Catalyst Chemistry 10 Antech FeLV/FIV Elisa Cremation	zam Danielle Puglies	Se, DVM 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00	\$57.00 \$100.00 \$45.00 \$65.00 \$63.50
			Patient Subtotal:	\$40.00 <b>\$370.50</b>
		in	Invoice Total: 50% Discount : 20% Discount : Total:	<b>\$370.50</b> (\$133.50) (\$12.70) \$224.30
		111	ivoice Balance Due: Cash :	\$224.30
			Less Payment:	(\$120.00) (\$120.00)
		Inve	oice Balance Due:	\$104.30
			Balance Due:	\$705.98

# ELTING VILLE VETERINARY PRACTICE

# Eltingville Veterinary Practice

www.Eltingvillevet.org 4353 Hylan Blvd. Staten Island, NY 10312 (718) 208-4118

> Client ID: 95 Invoice #: 526

Date: 11/21/2014

Muriel Richards 115 Mills Avenue 2nd floor Staten Island, NY 10305

Patient Name	D: 131	Species: F	-eline			·
140016			Oomestic Shorthair	1	Weight: 4.00	pounds
11/17/0044	<u>Description</u>			Bi	rthday: 06/27/201	
11/17/2014	OV-Consultation/Exam		Staff Name		Quantit	male
	Comprehensive CRC La	Oratory Test	Danielle Puglie	ese, DVM	1.00	<u>. o.a.</u>
		1031			1.00	\$57.00
	Antech FIP 7b ELISA				1.00	\$45.00
	Fluids IV Setup				1.00	\$95.00
	Esophagostomy Tube Pla	cement			1.00	\$124.60
	TOTAL THECHON				1.00	\$125.00
	Antibiotic Injection				1.00	\$250.00
	Hospitalization ICU				1.00	\$30.00
	Died in Hospital				1.00	\$35.00
	Communial Cremation 0-51	bs			1.00	\$185.00
					1.00	\$0.00
Patient ID: 33				Patient	Subtotal:	\$30.00
atient Name: Gre	eyfriar e e	Species: Feline	)			\$976.60
		Breed: Dome	stic Shorthair	Weig	pour	nds
/17/2014 E	<u>Description</u>	· · · · · ·		Birthda	iy: 11/15/2006	Sex: Mate
	uthanasia Services		Staff Name		Quantity	
			Danielle Pugliese,	DVM	1.00	<u>Total</u>
				Patient 9	Subtotal:	\$0.00
						\$0.00
				invoid	e Total:	\$976.60
				50% D	iscount :	(\$350.00)
				20% Di	scount :	(\$24.92)
					Total:	
			Invoice Balance Du		e Due-	\$601.68
				-	 ====	\$601.68
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		,		Balance	_	

11/28/2014

Eltingville Veterinary Practice www.Eltingvillevet.org 4353 Hylan Blvd. Staten Island, NY 10312 (718) 208-4118

#### **Payment On Account**

Muriel Richards 115 Mills Avenue 2nd floor Staten Island,NY 10305

Client ID: 95

Previous Balance:	\$705.98
Cash, :	(\$400.00)
Subtotal: Change Given:	\$305.98
Balance Due:	\$305.98

Thank You!

Current	30 Days	60 Days	00.5
ACCE OO		00 Days	90 Days
\$305.98	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00



#### U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION New York District Office

33 Whitehall Street, 5th Floor New York, NY 10004-2112

For General Information: (800) 669-4000

TTY: (800)-669-6820

District Office: (212) 336-3620 General FAX: (212) 336-3625

Muriel V. Richards 115 Mills Avenue 2nd Floor Staten Island, NY 10305

Re:

EEOC Charge No. 520-2013-00902

Muriel Richards v. New York Public Library

Dear Ms. Richards.

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (hereinafter referred to as the "Commission") has reviewed the above-referenced charge according to our charge prioritization procedures. These procedures, which are based on a reallocation of the Commission's staff resources, apply to all open charges in our inventory and call for us to focus our limited resources on those cases that are most likely to result in findings of violations of the laws we enforce.

In accordance with these procedures, we have examined your charge based upon the information and evidence you submitted.

Respondent's position statement has been previously shared with you. Your rebuttal to this position statement has been received and analyzed. Based upon this analysis the Commission is unable to conclude that the information establishes a violation of Federal law on the part of Respondent. This does not certify that Respondent is in compliance with the statutes. No finding is made as to any other issue that might be construed as having been raised by this charge.

The Commission's processing of this charge has been concluded. Included with this letter is your Notice of Dismissal and Right to Sue. Following this dismissal, you may only pursue this matter by filing suit against the Respondent named in the charge within 90 days of receipt of said notice. Otherwise, your right to sue will be lost.

Please contact Paul Young at (212) 336-3783 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Kevin J. Berry

**District Director** 

Date

EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

# U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

		O.O. EGOVE ENLOAMENT O			
To: Muri		DISMISSAL AND NO	TICE OF	 Rights	
115 h 2nd f	el V. Richards Mills Avenue Floor n Island, NY 10		From:	New York District Office 33 Whitehall Street 5th Floor New York, NY 10004	
		half of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is IDENTIAL (29 CFR §1601.7(a))			
EEOC Charge	No.	EEOC Representative			
520-2013-0	0000	Paul Young,		Telephone No.	
		Investigator		Amana a	
THE EEOC	IS CLOSING IT	S FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE	FOLLOW	(212) 336-3783	
	The facts alleged	in the charge fail to state a claim under ar	- FOLLOW	VING REASON:	
		Barran to state a claim under an	ny of the sta	itutes enforced by the EEOC.	
	Your allegations d	id not involve a disability as defined by the	e American	n Milela Discol rece	
	The Possessian		o / Wile Hoalis	s with Disabilities Act.	
<b></b>	me vesboudeut e	mploys less than the required number of	employees	or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.	
	Our charge was	not timely filed with EEOC; in other v	vords vou	waited too long after the date(s) of the alleg-	
X	r- cear	e your charge	, o, o,	waited too long after the date(s) of the alleg-	
in	If EEOC issues	the following determination: Based upo	n its invest	figation, the Emon	
		and the state of t	mimba b	" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	te EEOC nas ado	pted the findings of the state or local fair $\epsilon$	mployment	nstrued as having been raised by this charge.  Practices agency that investigated this charge.	
01	her (briefly state)		•	gency that investigated this charge.	
iwsuit must be st. (The time in	filed WITHIN 9	based on a claim under state law may	ation Non dismissal a ased on the ice; or you be differed t within 2 yes olations the	discrimination Act, or the Age and of your right to sue that we will send you is charge in federal or state court. Your is right to sue based on this charge will be ent.)  ears (3 years for willful violations) of the hat occurred more than 2 years (3 years)	
		11			
closures(s)		- VV		SEP 1 6 2014	
		Kevin J. Berry District Directo	, Г	(Date Mailed)	

Director **Human Resources** NEW YORK PUBLIC LIBRARY 188 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016

Enclosure with EEOC Form 161 (11/09)

## INFORMATION RELATED TO FILING SUIT UNDER THE LAWS ENFORCED BY THE EEOC

(This information relates to filing suit in Federal or State court <u>under Federal law</u>.

If you also plan to sue claiming violations of State law, please be aware that time limits and other provisions of State law may be shorter or more limited than those described below.)

PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA), or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA):

In order to pursue this matter further, you must file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) named in the charge <u>within 90 days of the date you receive this Notice</u>. Therefore, you should keep a record of this date. Once this 90-day period is over, your right to sue based on the charge referred to in this Notice will be lost. If you intend to consult an attorney, you should do so promptly. Give your attorney a copy of this Notice, and its envelope, and tell him or her the date you received it. Furthermore, in order to avoid any question that you did not act in a timely manner, it is prudent that your suit be filed within 90 days of the date this Notice was mailed to you (as indicated where the Notice is signed) or the date of the postmark, if later.

Your lawsuit may be filed in U.S. District Court or a State court of competent jurisdiction. (Usually, the appropriate State court is the general civil trial court.) Whether you file in Federal or State court is a matter for you to decide after talking to your attorney. Filing this Notice is not enough. You must file a "complaint" that contains a short statement of the facts of your case which shows that you are entitled to relief. Your suit may include any matter alleged in the charge or, to the extent permitted by court decisions, matters like or related to the matters alleged in the charge. Generally, suits are brought in the State where the alleged unlawful practice occurred, but in some cases can be brought where relevant employment records are kept, where the employment would have been, or where the respondent has its main office. If you have simple questions, you usually can get answers from the office of the clerk of the court where you are bringing suit, but do not expect that office to write your complaint or make legal strategy decisions for you.

#### PRIVATE SUIT RIGHTS -- Equal Pay Act (EPA):

EPA suits must be filed in court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment: back pay due for violations that occurred **more than 2 years (3 years)** before you file suit may not be collectible. For example, if you were underpaid under the EPA for work performed from 7/1/08 to 12/1/08, you should file suit before 7/1/10 – not 12/1/10 – in order to recover unpaid wages due for July 2008. This time limit for filing an EPA suit is separate from the 90-day filing period under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA referred to above. Therefore, if you also plan to sue under Title VII, the ADA, GINA or the ADEA, in addition to suing on the EPA claim, suit must be filed within 90 days of this Notice and within the 2- or 3-year EPA back pay recovery period.

#### ATTORNEY REPRESENTATION -- Title VII, the ADA or GINA:

If you cannot afford or have been unable to obtain a lawyer to represent you, the U.S. District Court having jurisdiction in your case may, in limited circumstances, assist you in obtaining a lawyer. Requests for such assistance must be made to the U.S. District Court in the form and manner it requires (you should be prepared to explain in detail your efforts to retain an attorney). Requests should be made well before the end of the 90-day period mentioned above, because such requests do not relieve you of the requirement to bring suit within 90 days.

#### ATTORNEY REFERRAL AND EEOC ASSISTANCE -- All Statutes:

You may contact the EEOC representative shown on your Notice if you need help in finding a lawyer or if you have any questions about your legal rights, including advice on which U.S. District Court can hear your case. If you need to inspect or obtain a copy of information in EEOC's file on the charge, please request it promptly in writing and provide your charge number (as shown on your Notice). While EEOC destroys charge files after a certain time, all charge files are kept for at least 6 months after our last action on the case. Therefore, if you file suit and want to review the charge file, please make your review request within 6 months of this Notice. (Before filing suit, any request should be made within the next 90 days.)

IF YOU FILE SUIT, PLEASE SEND A COPY OF YOUR COURT COMPLAINT TO THIS OFFICE.

# U.S. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION New York District Office 33 Whitehall Street, 5th Fl

New York, N.Y. 10004

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300

Staten Island, NY 10305 Muriel V. Richards 115 Mills Avenue 2nd Floor

aton enutwonen

G2 IP \$ 000.450 0000806097 SEP 17 2014 MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 10304



Muriel Richards <murielcourtney09@gmail.com>

#### My EEOC Charge

14 messages

Muriel Richards <murielcourtney09@gmail.com>
To: JOHN DOUGLASS <john.douglass@eeoc.gov>

Fri, Aug 16, 2013 at 5:33 PM

EEOC Charge No. 520-2013-00902 Richards v New York Public Library Statement of Charging Party

My name is Muriel Richards. I am over forty years of age and suffer from impairments that substantially limit one or more of my major life activities. I believe that I have been discriminated against based on my age and disabilities by my employer, The New York Public Library (herein "Respondent" and, alternatively, "NYPL"). I have been working for Respondent in a part time position since March 03, 2008. I perform my job well. I have applied for dozens and dozens of full time positions in a variety of titles with Respondent, and was constantly denied these positions. On at least several occasions, a full time position was filled at my workplace in my title. Younger, less qualified entities were chosen for these positions. To my knowledge, these employees do not suffer from any disabilities.

Respondent has provided some reasonable accommodations to me based on my disabilities including large key-keyboards, a glare guard, some enlargement of computer fonts, granting some time-off requests to attend medical appointments as well as brief additional breaks as medically necessary (and a few other forms of reasonable accommodation described below). I have made several requests for reasonable accommodations for a piece of magnification equipment that could assist me in performing my job. Respondent provided me with equipment that was already at the library and not of sufficient strength. Respondent eventually provided me with

the proper magnification equipment. I also made a request for a slightly more flexible schedule in arriving to work which was not responded to properly.

Continued...

Page 02 of 05/Richards v. NYPL/08/16/13

I have placed requests for the enlargement and erstwhile enhancement of the fonts, cursors and other screen items on the computers contained at my present NYPL Branch following a recent computer upgrade as well as the repair of two nonfunctioning light fixtures in said branch. As of the date of this document, I have not received the immediately aforementioned reasonable accommodation as I requested. On July 29, 2013, I received written notification from Respondent that I was slated to be transferred to the NYPL Richmondtown Branch effective August 05, 2013. I replied to Respondent, citing the safety issues inherent with my being coerced to travel to an NYPL Branch (specifically, Richmondtown) that necessitates one to cross a very wide intersection and ambulate in the road where there is no sidewalk in existence for a segment of said walkway. I had previously requested (on May 28, 2013) and received reasonable accommodation (on July 10, 2013) from the NYPL in regard to the rescinding of my transfer (also initiated by the NYPL) to work at a branch in a high-crime area (Stapleton Branch). (The NYPL currently has twelve branches on Staten Island, with security guards assigned to four of the twelve branches, where, presumably, the NYPL perceives a heightened security risk at said four branches.) My request in regard to the transfer to the Stapleton Branch was, as I explained to the Respondent, predicated in large measure upon the fact that my being legally blind renders me less aware than a fully sighted entity concerning the approaching of an attacker(s). On July 30, 2013, the Respondent requested clarification regarding my request not to be transferred to the Richmondtown Branch,